

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA
3

4 Arthur Daniel Mayo,
5 Petitioner
6 v.
7 Jo Gentry, et al.,
8 Respondents
9

2:16-cv-00599-JAD-GWF

Order

[ECF No. 39]

10 In this habeas corpus action, respondents were granted a 30-day extension of time to file
11 an answer, making December 1, 2017, their new deadline.¹ On December 1, 2017, another time-
12 extension motion was filed, this time requesting four additional days because counsel for the
13 respondents had obligations in other cases that inhibited her from answering sooner.² Counsel
14 answered four days later.

15 I find that the motion for an extension of time was made in good faith and not solely for
16 the purpose of delay, and that there is good cause for the requested time extension. I will
17 therefore grant the motion for an extension of time and treat the answer as timely filed.

18 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that respondents' motion for enlargement of
19 time [ECF No. 39] is **GRANTED**, and the answer will be treated as timely filed. **Mayo's reply**
20 **is due February 5, 2018.**

21 DATED: December 7, 2017.

22 
23 U.S. District Judge Jennifer A. Dorsey
24
25
26

27 ¹ ECF No. 38.

28 ² *Id.*